



## Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

### Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 6 Laws of Minnesota 2021 Accomplishment Plan

---

#### General Information

**Date:** 07/13/2023

**Project Title:** Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 6

**Funds Recommended:** \$3,088,000

**Legislative Citation:** ML 2021, First Sp. Session, Ch. 1, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd. 4(d)

**Appropriation Language:** \$3,088,000 the first year is to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Minnesota Land Trust to acquire permanent conservation easements and restore and enhance prairie, wetland, and other habitat on permanently protected conservation easements in high-priority wetland habitat complexes in the prairie and forest/prairie transition regions. Of this amount, up to \$288,000 is to establish a monitoring and enforcement fund, as approved in the accomplishment plan and subject to Minnesota Statutes, section 97A.056, subdivision 17. A list of proposed conservation easement acquisitions and restorations and enhancements must be provided as part of the required accomplishment plan.

#### Manager Information

**Manager's Name:** Wayne Ostlie

**Title:** Director of Land Protection

**Organization:** Minnesota Land Trust

**Address:** 2356 University Avenue W Suite 240

**City:** St. Paul, MN 55114

**Email:** wostlie@mnland.org

**Office Number:** 651-917-6292

**Mobile Number:** 651-894-3870

**Fax Number:**

**Website:** www.mnland.org

#### Location Information

**County Location(s):** Polk, Kandiyohi, Otter Tail, Douglas, Pope, Grant, Wilkin, Big Stone and Stevens.

**Eco regions in which work will take place:**

- Forest / Prairie Transition
- Prairie

**Activity types:**

- Protect in Easement
- Restore
- Enhance

**Priority resources addressed by activity:**

- Wetlands
- Prairie
- Forest
- Habitat

## Narrative

### Abstract

Phase 6 of the Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program will result in the protection of 1,213 acres of high priority wetland habitat complexes in Minnesota's Prairie and Forest-Prairie Transition areas by securing permanent conservation easements within scientifically prioritized habitat complexes. The Minnesota Land Trust will use its innovative market-based landowner bid model to maximize conservation benefit and financial leverage in protection project selection. In addition, a partnership between the US Fish and Wildlife Service and Land Trust will restore/enhance 870 acres of wetlands and associated prairies to benefit important waterfowl and SGCN populations.

### Design and Scope of Work

Wetlands and shallow lakes provide the essential backbone for the survival of waterfowl and other important wildlife species. In fact, more than 50% of Minnesota's Species in Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) use wetlands during their life cycle. Most of the plans developed to protect Minnesota's wildlife—including Minnesota's Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy, the Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan, and the Long Range Duck Recovery Plan—cite the protection and restoration of the state's remaining wetlands as one of the top priorities to achieve the State's conservation goals. Moreover, these plans cite the use of conservation easements on private lands as one of the primary strategies to protect important wetland and shallow lake habitat.

Minnesota Land Trust's Wetlands Habitat Protection Program area extends from Meeker County northwest to Becker County, located along a vast glacial moraine system in western Minnesota. This prairie pothole country is the core of Minnesota's "duck factory" and is central to one of North America's most important flyways for migratory waterfowl. Through Phase 5 of this program, the Land Trust has procured 25 conservation easements protecting 3,296 acres of habitat and 37.5 miles of shoreline. The Program has 1,650 acres of restoration/enhancement underway.

Phase 6 will continue these accomplishments by restoring or enhancing 870 acres of important prairie and wetland habitats on private lands already protected within the Program area in partnership with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program. The Land Trust will also work with FWS and landowners to develop additional shovel ready R/E projects. In addition, the Land Trust will protect 1,213 acres of new priority wetland and associated upland habitat through conservation easements. The Program will be closely coordinated with other public agencies, non-profit organizations and other stakeholders to ensure this Program meets multi-agency conservation goals.

The Land Trust will continue to implement a criteria-based ranking system and market-based approach for purchasing conservation easements. The Program will continue to target projects that help complete gaps in existing public ownership, are of the highest ecological value, and provide the greatest leverage to the state. The Land Trust will seek donated easements in these areas whenever possible but also may purchase the full or partial value of an easement to complete key complexes as necessary.

To focus our easement protection work, we used the Prairie Plan and other data sets and plans to shape our Wetlands Program plan and identify important wetland complexes in this landscape based on the nexus of high-quality habitat, existing protected areas and restorable agricultural lands. These complexes include a mosaic of wetland, prairie/grassland, and forest habitats, as well as agricultural land. Outcomes from this project include: 1) healthy wetland habitat complexes and associated populations of waterfowl, upland birds, and SGCN; 2) improved water quality; 3) increased participation of private landowners in habitat conservation projects; and 4) enhancement of prior public investments in wetland and upland habitat projects.

### **How does the plan address habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and list targeted species?**

This program addresses LSOHC priorities by protecting shallow lakes, wetland/grassland complexes, and shoreland that provide critical habitat for Minnesota's wildlife, especially its migratory waterfowl and associated species.

Minnesota's wetlands are essential to our wildlife health and diversity. This project directly benefits SGCN and other important game and non-game wildlife species by minimizing the potential threats to their habitat caused by detrimental agricultural practices,

residential or commercial development or imprudent land management. The wetland habitat complexes that will be targeted through the ranking system will include a mosaic of wetlands, grasslands and woodlands. Priority projects will include high or outstanding

habitat as identified in Minnesota Biological Survey data. Projects will also be located near other protected lands to help build larger habitat complexes comprised of both public and private lands. The vast majority of this landscape is in private ownership. For that

reason, working with private owners on land protection strategies is key to successful conservation in this region. Finally, we will work closely with partners in the region to identify those habitat complexes where private land protection can make a significant

contribution to existing conservation investments.

### **Describe how the plan uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey:**

This program is focused on procuring easements and restoring prairie and wetland habitats on easement lands within priority complexes of wetlands and associated upland habitats, as guided by the State Wildlife Action Plan, Duck Plan and Prairie Plan. Specific

parcels available for easement acquisition are evaluated relative to each other to identify priorities among the pool of applicants. This relative ranking is based on three primary ecological factors: 1) amount of habitat on the parcel (size) and abundance of SGCN; 2) the quality or condition of habitat; and 3) the parcel's context relative to other natural habitats and protected areas) and cost. The program serves to build upon past conservation investments in the program area, expand the footprint of existing protected areas (WMAs, WPAs, etc.), facilitate the protection of habitat corridors and reduce the potential for fragmentation of existing habitats. In addition, our partnership with USFWS will enable the Land Trust to further reduce effects of fragmentation through restoration of prairie, wetlands and other habitats. Minnesota Biological Survey data is cornerstone to our assessment of potential conservation easement acquisitions; we also conduct field visits to further identify and assess condition of habitats prior to easement acquisition, because many private lands were not formally assessed through MBS.

### **Which two sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are most applicable to this project?**

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds

### **Which two other plans are addressed in this program?**

- Long Range Duck Recovery Plan
- Minnesota's Wildlife Action Plan 2015-2025

### **Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?**

#### **Forest / Prairie Transition**

- Protect, enhance, and restore wild rice wetlands, shallow lakes, wetland/grassland complexes, aspen parklands, and shoreland that provide critical habitat for game and nongame wildlife

#### **Prairie**

- Protect, enhance, or restore existing wetland/upland complexes, or convert agricultural lands to new wetland/upland habitat complexes

## **Outcomes**

### **Programs in forest-prairie transition region:**

- Protected, restored, and enhanced nesting and migratory habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and species of greatest conservation need ~ *This program will permanently protect 606 acres of wetland and upland habitat complexes and restore/enhance 300 acres of wetlands and prairies in the forest-prairie transition region. Measure: Acres protected; acres restored; acres enhanced.*

### **Programs in prairie region:**

- Remnant native prairies and wetlands are perpetually protected and adequately buffered ~ *This program will permanently protect 607 acres of wetland and upland habitat complexes and restore/enhance 560 acres of wetlands and prairies in the prairie region. Measure: Acres protected; acres restored; acres enhanced.*

**Does this program include leveraged funding?**

Yes

**Explain the leverage:**

Through its market-based RFP process, the Land Trust expects private landowners to donate at least \$336,900 in easement value toward the program, which is shown as leverage. In addition, the USFWS will contribute \$48,000 in cash and in-kind contributions toward restoration and enhancement projects on protected lands.

**Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.**

Funding procured by MLT through the Outdoor Heritage Fund through this proposal will not supplant or substitute any previous funding from a non-Legacy fund used for the same purpose.

**How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?**

The land protected through conservation easements will be sustained through state-of-the-art standards and practices for conservation easement stewardship. The Minnesota Land Trust is a nationally-accredited land trust with a very successful stewardship

program that includes annual property monitoring, effective records management, addressing inquiries and interpretations, tracking changes in ownership, investigating potential violations and defending the easement in cases of a true violation. Funding for these

easement stewardship activities is included in the project budget.

In addition, MLT will assist landowners in the development of comprehensive habitat management plans to help ensure that the land will be managed for its wildlife and water quality benefits. USFWS and MLT (as easement holders on respective properties) will work

with landowners on an ongoing basis to provide habitat restoration plans, resources and technical expertise to undertake restoration, enhancement and ongoing management of these properties.

**Actions to Maintain Project Outcomes**

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
2025 and in perpetuity	MLT Long-Term Stewardship and Enforcement Fund	Annual monitoring of easements in perpetuity	Enforcement as necessary	-
Every 4-6 years	USFWS, Landowners, MLT	Prescribed fire, tree control, invasive species control	-	-

**Activity Details****Requirements**

**If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056?**

Yes

**Is the land you plan to acquire (easement) free of any other permanent protection?**

Yes

**Who will manage the easement?**

Minnesota Land Trust will management the easements.

**Who will be the easement holder?**

Minnesota Land Trust will be the easement holder.

**What is the anticipated number of easements (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?**

MLT anticipates closing between 6-10 conservation easements depending on the size/cost of the projects and the amount of easement value that is donated to the program by landowners.

**Will restoration and enhancement work follow best management practices including MS 84.973 Pollinator Habitat Program?**

Yes

**Is the restoration and enhancement activity on permanently protected land per 97A.056, Subd 13(f), tribal lands, and/or public waters per MS 103G.005, Subd. 15 or on lands to be acquired in this program?**

Yes

**Where does the activity take place?**

- Permanently Protected Conservation Easements

## Land Use

**Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program?**

Yes

**Explain what will be planted:**

Easement Acquisition:

The purpose of the Minnesota Land Trust's conservation easements is to protect existing high quality natural habitat and to preserve opportunities for future restoration. We restrict agricultural lands and use on the properties. In cases where there are agricultural lands associated with the larger property, we will either exclude the agricultural area from the conservation easement, or in some limited cases, we may include a small percentage of agricultural lands if it is not feasible to exclude those areas. In such cases, however, we will not use OHF funds to pay the landowners for that portion of the conservation easement.

Restoration/Enhancement:

Short-term use of agricultural crops is an accepted best practice for preparing a site for prairie restoration. For example, short-term use of soybeans could be used for restorations in order to control weed seedbeds prior to prairie planting. In some cases this necessitates the use of G MO treated products to facilitate herbicide use in order to control weeds present in the seedbank.

**Will the eased land be open for public use?**

No

**Are there currently trails or roads on any of the proposed acquisitions?**

Yes

**Describe the types of trails or roads and the allowable uses:**

Most conservation easements are established on private lands, many of which have driveways, field roads and trails located on them. Often, the conservation easement permits the continued usage of established trails and roads so long as their use does not significantly impact the conservation values of the property. Creation of new roads/trails or expansion of existing ones is typically not allowed.

**Will the trails or roads remain and uses continue to be allowed after OHF acquisition?**

Yes

**How will maintenance and monitoring be accomplished?**

Existing trails and roads are identified in the project baseline report and will be monitored annually as part of the Land Trust's stewardship and enforcement protocols. Maintenance of permitted roads/trails in accordance with the terms of the easement will be the responsibility of the landowner.

**Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition?**

No

**Will the acquired parcels be restored or enhanced within this appropriation?**

No

Although it is possible that some funds may be used to restore/enhance lands protected in easement through this appropriation, we do not anticipate using these funds for that purpose. Rather, we will evaluate the R/E needs associated with these new easement properties and those needs will be reflected in future proposals.

**Will the land that you acquire (fee or easement) be restored or enhanced within this program's funding and availability?**

No

**Explain how, when, and source of the R/E work:**

Although it is possible that some funds may be used to restore/enhance lands protected in easement through this appropriation, we do not anticipate using these funds for that purpose. Rather, we will evaluate the R/E needs associated with these new easement properties and those needs will be reflected in future OHF proposals.

**Timeline**

Activity Name	Estimated Completion Date
Conservation easements completed or options secured	June 30, 2025
Restoration and enhancement projects completed	June 30, 2025

**Date of Final Report Submission:** 11/01/2025

**Availability of Appropriation:** Subd. 7. Availability of Appropriation

Money appropriated in this section may not be spent on activities unless they are directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation and are specified in the accomplishment plan approved by the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council. Money appropriated in this section must not be spent on indirect costs or other institutional overhead charges that are not directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation. For acquiring real property, the amounts in this section are available until June 30, 2025. Money for restoration or enhancement is available until June 30, 2026. Money for restoration and enhancement of land acquired with an appropriation in

this article is available for four years after the acquisition date with a maximum end date of June 30, 2029. If a project receives at least 15 percent of its funding from federal funds, the time of the appropriation may be extended to equal the availability of federal funding to a maximum of six years if the federal funding was confirmed and included in the original approved draft accomplishment plan. Money appropriated for acquiring land in fee title may be used to restore, enhance, and provide for public use of the land acquired with the appropriation. Public-use facilities must have a minimal impact on habitat in acquired lands.



**Budget**

*Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.*

**Totals**

Item	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$260,000	\$16,500	-	\$276,500
Contracts	\$775,000	-	-	\$775,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	-	-	-	-
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	-	-	-	-
Easement Acquisition	\$1,400,000	\$336,900	Landowner donation of easement value	\$1,736,900
Easement Stewardship	\$288,000	-	-	\$288,000
Travel	\$19,400	-	-	\$19,400
Professional Services	\$263,000	-	-	\$263,000
Direct Support Services	\$70,700	-	-	\$70,700
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	-	-	-	-
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other Equipment/Tools	\$10,500	-	-	\$10,500
Supplies/Materials	\$1,400	\$31,500	USFWS	\$32,900
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$3,088,000</b>	<b>\$384,900</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>\$3,472,900</b>

**Personnel**

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
FWS Restoration Staff	0.04	4.0	-	\$16,500	USFWS	\$16,500
MLT Restoration Staff	0.3	4.0	\$110,000	-	-	\$110,000
MLT Protection Staff	0.32	4.0	\$150,000	-	-	\$150,000

**Amount of Request:** \$3,088,000

**Amount of Leverage:** \$384,900

**Leverage as a percent of the Request:** 12.46%

**DSS + Personnel:** \$330,700

**As a % of the total request:** 10.71%

**Easement Stewardship:** \$288,000

**As a % of the Easement Acquisition:** 20.57%

**How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?**

The program is scalable, and as such the outcomes associated with the proposal were adjusted in line with the

reduced recommended funding. As with most programs, some elements are necessary regardless of the funding level; as funding levels increase, the programs operate at a more efficient level.

**Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:**

Through its market-based RFP process, the Land Trust expects private landowners to donate at least \$420,000 in easement value toward the program, which is shown as leverage. In addition, the USFWS will contribute \$28,400 in cash and in-kind contributions toward restoration and enhancement projects on protected lands.

## Personnel

**Has funding for these positions been requested in the past?**

Yes

**Please explain the overlap of past and future staffing and position levels previously received and how that is coordinated over multiple years?**

FTEs listed in the proposal are an estimate of the personnel time required to deliver the grant outputs included in this proposal. An array of staff may work on projects to complete legal review, sub-contracts, negotiating with landowners, drafting conservation easements, completing baseline reports and managing the grant. MLT's basis for billing is the individual Protection or Restoration project we work on, ensuring allocation to the appropriate grant award, And by using a timesheet based approach we use only those personnel funds actually expended to achieve the goals of the grant.

## Contracts

**What is included in the contracts line?**

Restoration and enhancement accounts for \$680,000 of the contract line amount. Additional funds in the contract line are for the writing of habitat management plans via qualified vendors and engaging respective County Soil and Water Conservation Districts for landowner outreach purposes to facilitate communication of the protection program.

## Easement Stewardship

**What is the number of easements anticipated, cost per easement for stewardship, and explain how that amount is calculated?**

The Land Trust expects to close 6-10 conservation easements, depending on size and cost of the easements and the amount of landowner donation of easement value received. The average cost per easement to fund the Minnesota Land Trust's perpetual monitoring and enforcement obligations is \$24,000. This figure is derived from MLT's detailed stewardship funding "cost analysis" which is consistent with Land Trust Accreditation standards. MLT shares periodic updates to this cost analysis with LSOHC staff.

## Travel

**Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental?**

Yes

**Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging**

Land Trust staff regularly rents vehicles for grant-related purposes, which is a significant cost savings over use of personal vehicles.

**I understand and agree that lodging, meals, and mileage must comply with the current MMB Commissioner Plan:**

Yes

### **Direct Support Services**

**How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program?**

In a process that was approved by the DNR on March 17, 2017, Minnesota Land Trust determined our direct support services rate to include all of the allowable direct and necessary expenditures that are not captured in other line items in the budget, which is similar to the Land Trust's proposed federal indirect rate. We applied this DNR-approved rate only to personnel expenses to determine the total amount of direct support services requested through this grant.

### **Other Equipment/Tools**

**Give examples of the types of Equipment and Tools that will be purchased?**

GPS units, R/E tools, etc.

### **Federal Funds**

**Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program?**

Yes

**Are the funds confirmed?**

Yes

**Is Confirmation Document attached?**

[Yes](#)

- Cash : \$31,500
- In Kind : \$16,500

Output Tables**Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Acres
Restore	7	493	-	-	500
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	1,213	1,213
Enhance	46	324	-	-	370
<b>Total</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>817</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,213</b>	<b>2,083</b>

**How many of these Prairie acres are Native Prairie? (Table 1b)**

Type	Native Prairie (acres)
Restore	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-
Protect in Easement	-
Enhance	88
<b>Total</b>	<b>88</b>

**Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Funding
Restore	\$11,000	\$468,000	-	-	\$479,000
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	\$2,260,000	\$2,260,000
Enhance	\$58,800	\$290,200	-	-	\$349,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$69,800</b>	<b>\$758,200</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>\$2,260,000</b>	<b>\$3,088,000</b>

**Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)**

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Acres
Restore	-	187	-	313	-	500
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	606	-	607	-	1,213
Enhance	-	123	-	247	-	370
<b>Total</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>916</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,167</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>2,083</b>

**Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)**

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Funding
Restore	-	\$295,800	-	\$183,200	-	\$479,000
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	\$1,130,000	-	\$1,130,000	-	\$2,260,000
Enhance	-	\$157,000	-	\$192,000	-	\$349,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>\$1,582,800</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>\$1,505,200</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>\$3,088,000</b>

**Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat
------	---------	---------	--------	---------

Restore	\$1,571	\$949	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	\$1,863
Enhance	\$1,278	\$895	-	-

### Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest
Restore	-	\$1,581	-	\$585	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	\$1,864	-	\$1,861	-
Enhance	-	\$1,276	-	\$777	-

### Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

## Parcels

*For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.*

### Parcel Information

#### Sign-up Criteria?

[Yes - Sign up criteria is attached](#)

#### Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:

The Land Trust uses a competitive, market-based approach through an RFP process to identify interested landowners and prioritize parcels for conservation easement acquisition. All proposals submitted by landowners are evaluated and ranked relative to their ecological significance based on three primary factors: 1) size of habitat on the parcel; 2) condition of habitat on the parcel; and 3) the context (both in terms of amount/quality of remaining habitat and protected areas) within which the parcel lies. We also ask the landowner to consider contributing all or a portion of fair market value to enable our funds to make a larger conservation impact (see attached sign-up criteria). We contract with local SWCD offices to provide outreach services as a way to connect effectively with local landowners.

Restoration and enhancement work will take place on private lands over which MLT and USFWS have secured permanent conservation easements to protect wetlands and associated upland habitat. The projects included in the parcel list were identified as priorities for restoration/enhancement by USFWS staff in their Morris and Fergus Falls offices and MLT staff.

### Restore / Enhance Parcels

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection
Rol	Big Stone	12044203	90	\$27,000	Yes
Sit	Big Stone	12245232	270	\$140,000	Yes
JHee	Douglas	13039203	115	\$125,000	Yes
CKoe	Douglas	13040202	10	\$20,000	Yes
Hel	Kandiyohi	11933208	73	\$67,500	Yes
WVall2	Otter Tail	13242228	30	\$50,000	Yes
WElm	Otter Tail	13140235	40	\$60,000	Yes
MVuk	Otter Tail	13241218	5	\$6,000	Yes
FFFGSau	Otter Tail	13443236	110	\$100,000	Yes
SAbe	Otter Tail	13139212	7	\$10,000	Yes
RNor	Otter Tail	13242228	14	\$25,000	Yes
LRog	Otter Tail	13243222	38	\$60,000	Yes
BVan	Otter Tail	13139212	3	\$5,000	Yes
DTue	Otter Tail	13242232	35	\$35,000	Yes
DOls	Otter Tail	13140201	10	\$15,000	Yes
BSte2	Otter Tail	13743220	60	\$40,000	Yes
BBjo	Otter Tail	13138220	143	\$125,000	Yes
JMar	Otter Tail	13242223	15	\$15,000	Yes
WEva	Otter Tail	13140223	65	\$35,000	Yes
DSpi	Otter Tail	13442214	20	\$25,000	Yes


LWes	Otter Tail	13541225	225	\$150,000	Yes
RPet	Otter Tail	13643212	160	\$300,000	Yes
PRoc	Pope	12437209	140	\$52,000	Yes
Raa	Stevens	12542232	4	\$5,000	Yes
Mey	Stevens	12639221	49	\$30,000	Yes
TMal	Wilkin	13645228	153	\$50,000	Yes

## Protect Parcels

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection
Leaf Valley	Douglas	13038202	150	\$142,000	No
Gilbert Lake	Douglas	12839222	125	\$250,000	No
Lake Christina	Grant	13041212	40	\$40,000	No
Green Lake 2	Kandiyohi	12134224	210	\$285,000	No
Florida Slough	Kandiyohi	12135234	48	\$48,000	No
Green Lake 1	Kandiyohi	12133218	50	\$81,000	No
Fossan Lake	Otter Tail	13242235	175	\$260,000	No
Minnesota Woman	Otter Tail	13643212	314	\$314,000	No
Pomme de Terre 3	Otter Tail	13142210	78	\$78,000	No
Pomme de Terre 2	Otter Tail	13142202	126	\$98,000	No
Pomme de Terre 1	Otter Tail	13142203	407	\$295,000	No
Battle Creek	Otter Tail	13238206	90	\$200,000	No
Pelican River	Otter Tail	13443205	100	\$300,000	No
Big Stone Lake	Otter Tail	13442216	137	\$220,000	No
Lake Ethel	Otter Tail	13339205	197	\$600,000	No
Dead Lake	Otter Tail	13540233	30	\$500,000	No
Long Lake 3	Otter Tail	13443211	40	\$80,000	No
Long Lake 2	Otter Tail	13141202	20	\$400,000	No
Tamarack Lake	Otter Tail	13241214	200	\$300,000	Yes
East Red River (Sanderson)	Otter Tail	13442224	78	\$0	No
Long Lake	Otter Tail	13443202	300	\$300,000	No
Hill River	Polk	14839235	155	\$250,000	No
Rocky Mountain Lake	Pope	12336234	75	\$75,000	No



Parcel Map



- Protect in Easement
- ▲ Protect in Fee with PILT
- ▲ Protect in Fee W/O PILT
- ★ Restore
- ✕ Enhance
- ⊕ Other